

Linking Annuity Benefits to Financial and Longevity Experience: A Joint Pricing Framework

Doreen Kabuche^{1,2}, and Annamaria Olivieri^{1,3}

¹ARC Centre of Excellence in Population Ageing Research (CEPAR)

²School of Risk and Actuarial Studies, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

³Department of Economics and Management, University of Parma, Italy

July 26, 2023

Outline

Introduction

Modelling Framework

Numerical Illustration

Conclusion

Outline

Introduction

Modelling Framework

Numerical Illustration

Conclusion

Background

- | The demand for longevity guarantees remains low due to high costs.
- | Alternative solutions: longevity-linked products with flexible guarantees.
- | The benefit amount is updated to the mortality (longevity) experience.
- | Also common: financial-linked products, the benefit amount is updated to the realized investment experience.
- | Allow sharing of losses, and possibly profits between the provider and annuitants.

Previous Literature

- | Insurance products: adaptive algorithmic annuities [Luthy et al., 2001], longevity-indexed life annuities [Denuit et al., 2011], longevity-contingent life annuities [Denuit et al., 2015], participating longevity-linked life annuities [Bravo, 2022], etc.
- | Also a common practice to link the annuity benefits to financial experience: with-profit annuities or PLAs [Maurer et al., 2013].
- | Forms of participation also present in risk sharing products: GSAs, pooled annuities and tontines [Piggott et al., 2005], [Qiao and Sherris, 2013], [Milevsky and Salisbury, 2015], [Donnelly et al., 2013], [Donnelly et al., 2014], [Chen et al., 2019] no explicit guarantees.

Motivation

Literature Gaps

- | Little previous work on linked annuity arrangements that include both financial and (partial) longevity participation, possibly including guarantees.

Motivation

Research Goals

- | Investigate the joint presence of financial and longevity participation.
- | The benefits are updated based on longevity and financial experience, including (partial) guarantees.
- | Devise the realistic price of the risk retained by the provider (prices (fees) of guarantees under uncertain mortality and interest rates).
- | Explore trade-offs between the retained risk amount and the guarantee cost from the individual and provider perspectives.

Methodology

- | We follow the general linking mechanism proposed in [Olivieri and Pitacco, 2020].
- | We estimate interest and mortality using an affine term structure model, the AFNS independent factor model [Christensen et al., 2011, Huang et al., 2019].
- | We use a periodic fee structure adopted in variable annuities [Bacinello et al., 2011, Olivieri, 2021].

Outline

Introduction

Modelling Framework

Numerical Illustration

Conclusion

The Linking Framework

Financial Linking

$$B_t = B_{t-1} \times \begin{cases} 1 + \#_t F_t; & \text{if } \#_t F_t > r_{\min}; \\ 1 + r_{\min}; & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

The Linking Framework

- Financial and Longevity linking

$$B_t = B_{t-1} \times \max(1 - \alpha_t; \min(\frac{p_{x+t-1}^0}{p_{x+t-1}}; 1 + \alpha_t) \times (1 + \max(r_{\min}; \#_t F_t))); \quad (4)$$

- where $\alpha_t \in [0; 0.5]$ is the longevity participation proportion and $\#_t \in [0; 1]$ is the financial participation proportion.
- We determine the price of financial and longevity participation.

The Pricing Framework

- | The metric used to determine the required fees is the business value for the provider.
- | Defined as the present value of future profits net of the cost of capital.
- | We examine the structure of periodic fees (the corresponding discount factor) under different assumptions.

The Pricing Framework

The dynamics of the policy fund is then described by the following equation:

$$A_t \cdot N_{x+t} = A_{t-1} \cdot N_{x+t-1} \cdot (1 - \delta) \cdot (1 + r_t) - B_t \cdot N_{x+t}; \quad (31551)$$

The Pricing Framework

The premium amount paid to the provider is determined by solving backwards Equation (5) (note that $A_{l-x} = 0$), we find as follows:

$$A_0 = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} v^s \cdot (1 - p)^s \cdot (1 + r)^{-s} \cdot \frac{N_{x+s}}{n_x};$$
$$A_0 = S:$$

Outline

Introduction

Modelling Framework

Numerical Illustration

Conclusion

Numerical Illustration

Assumptions

The proposed products are issued to Italian males aged 65 in 2021.

The maximum attainable age is assumed to be 100.

The annuity payments are made at the end of the year.

For financial linking: $\beta_t = 1$ and $r_{\min} = -0.1\%$.

For longevity linking: $\beta_t = 0.9$ so that $0.9 \frac{p_{x+t}^0}{\beta_{x+t} - 1} = 1$.

The initial benefits: $B_0 = 1$ and the maximum age to stop linking $x_{\max} = 95$.

Main Results

Table 1: The linked annuity benefits from financial linking, longevity linking, and financial and longevity linking.

Base case scenario									
Statistic	Financial linking			Longevity linking			Financial and longevity linking		
	5%	Mean	95%	5%	Mean	95%	5%	Mean	95%
Time 10 (Age 75)	1.0871	1.1168	1.1471	0.9789	1.0000	1.0213	1.0789	1.1168	1.1556
Time 15 (Age 80)	1.1565	1.1967	1.2389	0.9635	1.0001	1.0377	1.1378	1.1969	1.2590
Time 31 (Age 96)	1.4186	1.4942	1.5716	0.8181	1.0075	1.2299	1.1954	1.4837	1.8220
Time 35 (Age 100)	1.4941	1.5796	1.6674	0.8181	1.0075	1.2299	1.1954	1.4837	1.8220

Main Results

Now assume initial premium $S=1000$ in monetary units then the initial benefit $B_0 = 60.07$

Table 2: Periodic fee to be charged each year to the policy fund value and the adjusted initial benefit amount given the initial premium of $S = 1,000$.

Arrangement	Periodic fee	Adjusted initial benefit
Financial linking	2.14%	46.06
Longevity linking	0.1%	59.39
Financial and longevity linking	1.99%	47.03

Outline

Introduction

Modelling Framework

Numerical Illustration




Conclusion

Conclusion





- | The joint presence of financial and longevity participation has compensation effects as well as risk-return trade-offs for the provider and policyholders.
- | Financial and longevity-linked annuity benefits are slightly lower on average, but there is compensation in the form of a higher upside of the realized investment return.
- | Compensation effects from financial participation, reducing the participation fee or the retained cost of the guarantees.

Thanks! Questions/comments?





References I

-  Bacinello, A. R., Millosovich, P., Olivieri, A., and Pitacco, E. (2011). Variable annuities: A unifying valuation approach. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 49(3):285{297.
-  Bravo, J. M. (2022). Pricing participating longevity-linked life annuities: A bayesian model ensemble approach. *European Actuarial Journal* 12(1):125{159.
-  Chen, A., Hieber, P., and Klein, J. K. (2019). Tonuity: A novel individual-oriented retirement plan. *ASTIN Bulletin: The Journal of the IAA* 49(1):5{30.




References II

-  Christensen, J. H., Diebold, F. X., and Rudebusch, G. D. (2011). The affine arbitrage-free class of nelson-siegel term structure models. *Journal of Econometrics* 164(1):4{20.
-  Denuit, M., Haberman, S., and Renshaw, A. (2011). Longevity-indexed life annuities. *North American Actuarial Journal* 15(1):97{111.
-  Denuit, M., Haberman, S., and Renshaw, A. E. (2015). Longevity-contingent deferred life annuities. *Journal of Pension Economics & Finance* 14(3):315{327.
-  Donnelly, C., Guillen, M., and Nielsen, J. P. (2013). Exchanging uncertain mortality for a cost. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 52(1):65{76.




References III

-  Donnelly, C., Guillen, M., and Nielsen, J. P. (2014). Bringing cost transparency to the life annuity market. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 54{27}.
-  Huang, Z., Sherris, M., Villegas, A., and Ziveyi, J. (2019). The application of affine processes in cohort mortality risk models. *UNSW Business School Research Paper Forthcoming*
-  Luthy, H., Keller, P., Binswangen, K., and Gmur, B. (2001). Adaptive algorithmic annuities. *Bulletin of the Swiss Association of Actuaries* 23{138}.
-  Maurer, R., Rogalla, R., and Siegelin, I. (2013). Participating payout life annuities: lessons from germany. *ASTIN Bulletin: The Journal of the IAA* 43(2):159{187}.

References IV

-  Milevsky, M. A. and Salisbury, T. S. (2015).
Optimal retirement income tontines.
Insurance: Mathematics and Economics 91{105.
-  Olivieri, A. (2021).
Designing annuities with flexibility opportunities in an uncertain mortality scenario.
Risks 9(11):189.
-  Olivieri, A. and Pitacco, E. (2020).
Linking annuity benefits to the longevity experience: alternative solutions.
Annals of Actuarial Science 14(2):316{337.

References V

-  Piggott, J., Valdez, E. A., and Detzel, B. (2005).
The simple analytics of a pooled annuity fund.
Journal of Risk and Insurance 72(3):497{520.
-  Qiao, C. and Sherris, M. (2013).
Managing systematic mortality risk with group self-pooling and annuitization schemes.
Journal of Risk and Insurance 80(4):949{974.
-  Ungolo, F., Sherris, M., and Zhou, Y. (2021).
a_ne_mortality: A github repository for estimation, analysis, and projection of a_ne mortality models.
Available at [SSRN 3912983](https://ssrn.com/abstract=3912983)

Appendix

Table 3: AFNS Interest Rate Model Estimated Parameters

k_{11}^P	k_{22}^P	k_{33}^P	11	22	33
0.4983	1.0128	1.0529	0.0250	0.0208	0.0370
ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_3			
0.0803	-0.0695	-0.0182	0.1277		

Appendix

Table 4: AFNS Interest Model Goodness of Fit.

Log likelihood	RMSE	No. of parameters	No. of observations	AIC	BIC
-23739.63	0.0035	33	3840	47545.26	47751.62

- | We have used the guidelines provided in [Christensen et al., 2011] for calibrating the AFNS independent factor interest rate model and the codes available at https://cepr.org/event/1854/Codes_slides .

Appendix

Table 5: AFNS Mortality Model Estimated Parameters

$k_{;11}^P$	$k_{;22}^P$	$k_{;33}^P$	s_{11}	s_{22}	s_{33}
0.0665	0.0151	0.0192	0.0011	0.0004	0.0001
r_1	r_2	r_c			
1.5502e-16	0.7777	1.6548e-06	-0.1127		

Appendix

Table 6: AFNS Mortality Model Estimated Parameters

$k_{;11}^P$	$k_{;22}^P$	$k_{;33}^P$	s_{11}	s_{22}	s_{33}
0.0665	0.0151	0.0192	0.0011	0.0004	0.0001
r_1	r_2	r_c			
1.5502e-16	0.7777	1.6548e-06	-0.1127		